

STREAMLINING OF THE APPROVED APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE EXAMINATION THROUGH E-GOVERNANCE MECHANISM

Ringo D. Daguison

Master in Public Administration, Laguna State Polytechnic University, Sta. Cruz, Laguna, Philippines

ABSTRACT

The study centered on the streamlining of the approved application for Licensure Examination through e-Governance mechanism. The study employed the descriptive method of research with the questionnaire as the main data gathering tool. The respondents of the study are Board/Examinees taking the licensure examination. Statistical tools were used in measuring and analyzing the results. Also, e-Governance mechanism has a high extent of influence to the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications. On System Security, it revealed that the website protects user's privacy as provided under data privacy act. This showed that information in the website is protected under the data privacy act. As to Information Clarity, it indicated that the website is equipped with search capability by the users and the users can quickly find the information and services they need once they enter the system. When it comes to Citizen's Satisfaction of e-Governance Services, it showed that the e-Governance system can improve the efficiency of organizational services, and accelerate the pace of the organization and Information and services provided by the e-Governance system can meet their needs. This revealed that the system improves the productivity and convenience in the working system and that it meets what they needed in the system. Moreover, the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism in claiming the Notice of Admission barely encountered the challenges in using the system. The most challenging aspect was the information provided in the system is not comprehensive and understandable to the target users. The next challenging aspect was updates for the system is difficult to upload and perform because of network trafficking and hacking. This showed that updates being done may be a problem due to internet stability and connectivity. It also revealed that the offline service is not effective in satisfying the needs of the users. Furthermore, there exists a significant relationship between the approved application through e-Governance mechanism and the system generated Notice of Admission. As contrasted, there is no significant difference on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to profile. In addition, there exists a significant relationship between the effects of the system generated NOA of the approved application through e-Governance mechanism and the challenges encountered.

KEYWORDS: Streamlining, Approved Application, Licensure Examination, e-Governance Mechanism

INTRODUCTION

We have seen a big change in our communication system in the last few months into year during this pandemic. With the use of the internet and many latest technologies, people can now access different online transaction options. This has also resulted in giving mock application at home via the internet. They do not have to be physically present to submit in the office or agency to receive quality output.

The Professional Regulation Commission previously used manual transaction for the processing of application for licensure examination and other services offered to the public, registered and licensed professional in terms of verification and validation. To align the Commission's existing programs and projects with social contract with the Filipino people, as well as in compliance with various policies that ensure the effective and timely delivery of key services and availability of information to clients and the general services. PRC is now using the Licensure Examination and Registration Information System (LERIS) portal and continuing to develop and improve its system.

The Licensure Examination is an important indicator of the quality of a person's pre-service training responsiveness and accountability of e-government services. It measures the integrity and credibility of being one of the practicing professionals on their chosen field. Especially, this is intended for the examinees and aspiring professionals in some remote area and island that are most affected because they need to travel back to PRC Office to claim their Notice of Admission (NOA) personally. Despite of their location, schedules, employment status, expenses and other matters that may arise. This is also where e-Governance comes in and how it functions and what is its role in the process of the streamlining the approved of the applications for the Licensure Examination.



MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study employed quantitative descriptive research design. Descriptive research design is a type of research design that aims to systematically obtain information to describe a phenomenon, situation, or population to determine its effect to the applicants. The locale of the study is the PRC Regional Office 4A - Lucena City in Quezon Province. This is found appropriate since this is the place where the number of examinees apply for a licensure examination of various profession.

The researcher gathered one hundred twenty respondents (120) as the approved applicants and examinees using google forms questionnaire to deliberately share and measure their experiences using the research instrument in data gathering. The researcher used demographic profile to identify the Respondents in terms of Board of/for, Examination place, Age, Sex, Address, and Employment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Demographic Profile of the Respondents in Terms of Board of/for

Most of the respondents were taking their Board Exam for Midwifery with the highest frequency of 43 (35.83%). Civil Engineer Board exam had the frequency of 3, or 2.50%. Nursing Board exam earned a frequency of 2 (1.67%). A lone respondent tied in taking up Psychometrician, Social Worker, and Mechanical Engineer.

Demographic Profile of Respondents in Terms of Examination Place

Most of the respondents take their Examination place in Lucena City with the highest frequency of 104 (86.67%). This was followed by those who took their Licensure Examination in Manila having the frequency of 14 (11.67%).

Demographic Profile of Respondents in Terms of Age

This was followed by the age bracket of 31 to 40 years old having the frequency of 26, or 21.67%. The age bracket of 41 to 50 years old got the frequency of 8 (6.67%). Three respondents were in the age of 51 to 60 years old.

Demographic Profile of Respondents in Terms of Gender

Most of the respondents were female with a frequency of 74 (61.67%). The remaining respondents were male with a frequency of 46, or 38.33%.

Demographic Profile of Respondents in Terms of Address

Most of the respondents were from Lucena City with the highest frequency of 22 (18.33%). Those who were from Tayabas City got the frequency of 11 (9.17%). Those who were from Sariaya Quezon had the frequency of 8 (6.67%).

Demographic Profile of Respondents in Terms of Employment

Most of the respondents have none when it comes to employment with the highest frequency of 33 (27.50%). Those in the DepEd and Contractual got the frequency of 3, or 2.50%. Working in BFP, Guidance Counselor and Unemployed. Lone respondents were engaged in the Electrician, OFW, RHMPP, undergraduate, Student, Casual and Self-Employed.

Table 1. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System

Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Applications through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of Information Clarity

Information Clarity	Mean	Verbal Interpretation
The website is equipped with search capability by the users.	4.51	Great Extent
The users can conveniently find items that they need via keywords in the system application.	4.45	High Extent
The categorization of website information and functions are reasonable to be used.	4.49	High Extent
The users can quickly find the information and services they need once they enter the system.	4.51	Great Extent
The guidance and instructions of the website is clear, easy to understand and operative to the target users.	4.50	High Extent
Grand Mean:	4.49	High Extent
Note: "Least Extent $(1.00 - 1.50)$ " "Less Extent $(1.51 - 2.50)$ " "Moderate Extent $(2.51 - 3.50)$ "	"High Ex	tent (3 51 – 4 50)" "Great

Note: "Least Extent (1.00 - 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 - 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 - 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 - 4.50)", "Great Extent (4.51 - 5.00)"

The general mean was 4.49 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent." This implied that there is a high extent of influence of

the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism.



System Security	Mean	Verbal Interpretation	
The website will protect user's privacy as provided under data privacy act.	4.58	Great Extent	
They do not have to worry about personal information being leaked in the system.	4.44	High Extent	
The website has user's privacy protection settings, such as password authentication and mobile authentication.	4.53	Great Extent	
My submitted information will not be disclosed as not allowed by the system.	4.54	Great Extent	
Grand Mean:	4.52	Great Extent	

"Great Extent (4.51 – 5.00)"

Table 2 shows the respondent's ratings of the extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism in terms of System Security. The grand mean was 4.52 with a verbal interpretation of "Great Extent." This implied that there is a great extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism.

Table 3. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of System Stability

System Stability	Mean	Verbal Interpretation				
Images, videos and hyperlinks of the website can be displayed properly.	4.42	High Extent				
The use of website services rarely appears system failure.	4.23	High Extent				
Website information and services are not restricted by the period of time.	4.35	High Extent				
The content and performance of the website will not be affected by using different browsers or internet tools to open government portal websites.	4.37	High Extent				
Grand Mean:	4.34	High Extent				
	((TT: 1 P					

Note: "Least Extent (1.00 – 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 – 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 – 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 – 4.50)", "Great Extent (4.51 – 5.00)"

Table 3 shows respondent's ratings of the extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism in terms of System Stability. The grand mean was 4.34 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent." This implied that there is a high extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism.

Table 4 Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Applications through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of Interactive Services

Interactive Services	Mean	Verbal Interpretation
The website has real-time communication channels, such as online customer services.	4.28	High Extent
The website online service or email system, message boards and other channels can reply the users in time and seriously answer their questions and comments.	4.34	High Extent
The website will take the initiative to inform the user the new information or services according to records.	4.43	High Extent
On the basis of meeting on the general requirements, the website also provides personalized custom services for different users.	4.36	High Extent
Online transactions can be completed within a specified time.	4.46	High Extent
After submitting relevant materials, online organization makes user feel satisfied with the results.	4.44	High Extent
Grand Mean:	4.38	High Extent

Note: "Least Extent (1.00 – 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 – 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 – 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 – 4.50)", "Great Extent (4.51 – 5.00)"

Table 4 shows the respondent's ratings of the extent of influence of the system generated Notice of Admission streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism in terms of Interactive Services. The grand mean was 4.38 Table 5. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application



through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of "One-stop" Services. 38 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent."

Table 5. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of "One-stop" Services

"One-stop" Services	Mean	Verbal Interpretation	
When handling cross-sector organization, the users can only log on one organization or		High Extent	
individual portal to complete all procedures of the organization.	4.41	Tigii Extent	
When handling cross-sector organization, the users can only log on the related government			
websites according to organizational needs, without logging on other government websites of		High Extent	
each separate organizational sector.	4.40		
When handling cross-sector organization, the users only need to care about the process of the		High Extent	
organization and don't need to care about who is responsible for specific organization.	4.32	High Extent	
Grand Mean:	4.38	High Extent	
Note: "Least Extent (1.00 - 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 - 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 - 3.50)",	"High Ex	tent (3.51 – 4.50)", "Great	
Extent $(4.51 - 5.00)$ "	-		

The grand mean was 4.38 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent." This implied that there is a high extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism. The lowest

indicator states that "when handling cross-sector organization, the users only need to care about the process of the organization and don't need to care about who is responsible for specific organization" with a mean of 4.32.

Table 6. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the SystemGenerated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of Offline Organization Service Quality Perception.

Offline Organization Service Quality Perception	Mean	Verbal Interpretation
The function of e-Governance is clear, comprehensive, and concise.	4.39	High Extent
The e-Governance system is safe, secured and reliable.	4.42	High Extent
The e-Governance system is integrated with other systems.	4.35	High Extent
Grand Mean:	4.39	High Extent

Note: "Least Extent (1.00 – 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 – 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 – 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 – 4.50)", "Great Extent (4.51 – 5.00)"

Table 6 shows the respondent's ratings of the extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism in terms of Offline Organization Service Quality Perception. The grand mean was 4.39 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent." The lowest scorer stated that "the e-Governance system is integrated with other systems" with a mean of 4.35. This indicated that the main system is connected to other support systems.

Table 7. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of Online Organization Service Quality Perception

Online Organization Service Quality Perception	Mean	Verbal Interpretation
The offline service of the organization departments is very efficient and cost effective.	4.33	High Extent
Employees or staffs of offline services are professional and enthusiastic.	4.40	High Extent
Grand Mean:	4.37	High Extent
	2 70) 11 (411)	1 T + + (2 51 + 50) " (0

Note: "Least Extent (1.00 - 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 - 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 - 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 - 4.50)", "Great Extent (4.51 - 5.00)"

Table 7 shows the respondent's ratings of the extent of influence of the system generated NOA of streamlining of the approved applications through e-Governance mechanism in terms of Online Organization Service Quality Perception. The grand mean was 4.37 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent." The lowest rater stated that "the offline service of the organization departments is very efficient and cost effective" with a mean of 4.33.



Extent (4.51 – 5.00)"

Table 8. Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in terms of Citizen's Satisfaction of e-Governance Services.

Citizen's Satisfaction of e-Governance Services	Mean	Verbal Interpretation			
The function of the e-Governance system is comprehensive and the process of the e-Governance system is simple.	4.37	High Extent			
The e-Governance system can improve the efficiency of organizational services, and accelerate the pace of the organization.	4.42	High Extent			
Information and services provided by the e-Governance system can meet their needs.	4.42	High Extent			
Grand Mean:	4.40	High Extent			
Note: "Least Extent (1.00 – 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 – 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 – 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 – 4.50)", "Great					

The grand mean was 4.40 with a verbal interpretation of "High Extent." The bottom indicator stated that "the function of the e-

Governance system is comprehensive and the process of the e-Governance system is simple" with a mean of 4.37.

Table 9. Summary Table on the Respondent's Ratings of the Extent of Influence of the System Generated NOA of Streamlining of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism

Extent of Influence	Mean	Verbal Interpretation
Information Clarity	4.49	High Extent
System Security	4.52	Great Extent
System Stability	4.34	High Extent
Interactive Services	4.38	High Extent
"One-Stop" Services	4.38	High Extent
Offline Organization Service Quality Perception	4.39	High Extent
Online Organization Service Quality Perception	4.37	High Extent
Citizen's Satisfaction of e-Governance Services	4.40	High Extent
Grand Mean:	4.41	High Extent

Note: "Least Extent (1.00 - 1.50)", "Less Extent (1.51 - 2.50)", "Moderate Extent (2.51 - 3.50)", "High Extent (3.51 - 4.50)", "Great Extent (4.51 - 5.00)"

Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in Claiming the Notice of Admission Table 10. Respondent's Ratings of the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in Claiming the Notice of Admission

Challenges Encountered	Mean	Verbal Interpretation
The information provided in the system is not comprehensive and understandable to the target users.	2.18	Barely Encountered
The information in the system is misleading and disclosing unpublic information.	1.92	Barely Encountered
The username and password in the system is very weak where hackers can hack the system.	1.90	Barely Encountered
The user's privacy is at stake in the system because the security features is not functional and weak.	1.94	Barely Encountered
Updates for the system is difficult to upload and perform because of network trafficking and hacking.	2.01	Barely Encountered
The e-Governance system is not interactive with the users since it is not simple and comprehensive.	1.92	Barely Encountered
There is duplication or replication in the e-Governance system which make the system redundant.	1.90	Barely Encountered
The offline service is not effective in satisfying the needs of the users.	1.98	Barely Encountered
The online service is not efficient in dealing with the target users and other clienteles.	1.83	Barely Encountered
There is a low citizen's satisfaction of the e-Governance system.	1.91	Barely Encountered
Grand Mean:	1.95	Barely Encountered



Note: "Never Encountered (1.00 - 1.50)", "Barely Encountered (1.51 - 2.50)", "Sometimes Encountered (2.51 - 3.50)", "Often Encountered (3.51 - 4.50)", "Always Encountered (4.51 - 5.00)" The most challenging aspect is the indicator "the information provided in the system is not comprehensive and understandable to the target users" with a mean of 2.18. The least challenging aspect is the item "the online service is not efficient in dealing with the target users and other clienteles" with a mean of 1.83. This showed that online services may be not a problem so much in performing the intended of the system installed.

Table 11 shows on Information Clarity vs. System Security, the p-value was less than .001 which is smaller than the 0.05 level of significance. The p-value was 0.317 which is higher than the 0.05 level of significance. Thus, the null hypothesis failed to be rejected. There is no significant difference on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to the Licensure Examination.

Table 11. Spearman Rank: Significant Re	lationship Between the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism
and	the System Generated Notices of Admission

Indicators	Spearman Rho	Informatio n Clarity	System Security	System Stability	Intera ctive Servic es	'One- Stop" Services	Offline Organization	Online Organizati on	Citizen's Satisfaction
Information Clarity	Correlatio n Coefficien t p-value	1.00							
System Security	Correlatio n Coefficien t p-value	0.771 Strong Correlatio n <.001	1.00						
System Stability	Correlatio n Coefficien t	0.796 Strong Correlatio n <.001	0.799 Strong Correla tion <.001	1.00					
Interactive Services	p-value Correlatio n Coefficien t	<.001 0.852 Strong Correlatio n	<.001 0.795 Strong Correla tion	0.845 Strong Correlati on	1.00				
"One-Stop" Services	p-value Correlatio n Coefficien t	<.001 0.745 Strong Correlatio n	<.001 0.718 Strong Correla tion	<.001 0.756 Strong Correlati on	0.868 Strong Correl ation	1.00			
Offline Organizatio n	p-value Correlatio n Coefficien t	<.001 0.725 Strong Correlatio n	<.001 0.763 Strong Correla tion	<.001 0.759 Strong Correlati on	<.001 0.846 Strong Correl ation	0.869 Strong Correlat ion	1.00		
Online Organizatio n	p-value Correlatio n Coefficien t p-value	<.001 0.740 Strong Correlatio n <.001	<.001 0.727 Strong Correla tion <.001	<.001 0.711 Strong Correlati on <.001	<.001 0.849 Strong Correl ation <0.00	<.001 0.826 Strong Correlat ion <.001	0.840 Strong Correlation <.001	1.00	
Citizen's Satisfaction	Correlatio n	0.754	0.728	0.717	1 0.812	0.809	0.856 Strong Correlation	0.893	1.00



ISSN (Online): 2455-3662 EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal Volume: 10| Issue: 6| June 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

Coefficien t	n Strong Correlatio	Strong Correla	Strong Correlati	Strong Correl	Strong Correlat		Strong Correlatio	
	n	tion	on	ation	ion		n	
p-value	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

Test for Significant Difference on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in Claiming Notice of Admission when Grouped According to Profile

Table 12. Kruskal Wallis H-Test:	Comparison on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application	through e-
Governance Mechanism in Claiming	Notice of Admission when Grouped According to Licensure Examination	

Indicator	Board Examination	Mean	K-statistic	p-	Decision	Remarks
		Rank		value		
Challenges	Architect	73.62	14.841	0.317	Failed to	Not
Encountered	Registered Master	67.73			Reject Ho	Significant
	Electrician					
	Midwife	58.03				
	Registered Electrical	76.38				
	Engineer					
	Guidance Counselor	81.10				
	Professional Teacher	50.65				
	Environmental	31.63				
	Planner					
	Civil Engineer	45.50				
	Psychometrician	68.50				
	Agriculturist	61.42				
	Nurse	106.25				
	Social Worker	50.50				
	Mechanical Engineer	59.50				
	Master Plumber	47.50				

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

Table 12. Kruskal Wallis H-Test: Comparison on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e	-
Governance Mechanism in Claiming Notice of Admission when Grouped According to Examination Place	

_	Indicator	Examination	Mean	K-statistic	р-	Decision	Remarks
		Place	Rank		value		
	Challenges	Lucena	57.85	5.559	0.062	Failed to	Not
	Encountered	Legazpi	99.50			Reject Ho	Significant
		Manila	74.61				

Note: "If *p* value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

Table 12 shows the Kruskal Wallis H-Test of the comparison on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to Board Examination. The p-value was 0.317 which is higher than the 0.05 level of significance. Thus, the null hypothesis failed to be rejected. There is no significant difference on the challenges encountered

Table 13. Kruskal Wallis H-Test: Comparison on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-
Governance Mechanism in Claiming Notice of Admission when Grouped According

Indicator	Age	Mean Rank	K- statistic	p-value	Decision	Remarks
Challenges Encountered	20 to 30 years old	62.17	0.854	0.837	Failed to Reject Ho	Not Significant
	31 to 40 years old	56.77				



41 to 50 years	53.75
old	
51 to 60 years	64.50
old	

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho." Table 13 shows the Kruskal Wallis H-Test of the comparison on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when

Table 14. Mann Whitney U-Test: Comparison on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-
Governance Mechanism in Claiming Notice of Admissionwhen Grouped According to Sex

Indicator	Sex	Mean Rank	U-statistic	p- value	Decision	Remarks
Challenges	Male	59.55	1658.500	0.812	Failed to	Not
Encountered	Female	61.09			Reject Ho	Significant
· 1 d	11 1 1	c · · · · · ·	(0.05)			·

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

Table 14 shows the Mann Whitney U-Test of the comparison on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to sex. The p-value was 0.812 which is greater than the 0.05 level of significance. Thus, the null hypothesis failed to be rejected. There is no significant difference on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to sex.

Table 15. Kruskal Wallis H-Test: Comparison on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism in Claiming Notice of Admission when Grouped According to Address

Indicator	Address	Mean	K-statistic	р-	Decision	Remarks
		Rank		value		
Challenges	Bauan Batangas	79.50	38.370	0.363	Failed to	Not
Encountered	Lemery Batangas	17.50			Reject Ho	Significant
	San Jose,	17.50				
	Camarines Norte					
	Batangas City	87.10				
	Candelaria,	45.50				
	Quezon					
	Padre Burgos,	17.50				
	Quezon					
	Lucban, Quezon	55.83				
	Lucena City	66.66				
	Daet, Camarines	89.50				
	Norte					
	Guinayangan,	64.00				
	Quezon					
	Tayabas City	44.91				
	Lumban, Laguna	75.50				
	Polilio Quezon	66.25				
	Sariaya Quezon	38.81				
	Santa Rosa,	91.50				
	Laguna					
	Lipa City	68.50				
	Pagbilao, Quezon	85.63				
	Makati City	104.00				
	Taysan Batangas	55.00				
	Lobo, Batangas	90.75				
	Calapan City	41.00				



Puerto Prinsesa	78.17	
City		
Paco, Manila	100.50	
Boac Marinduque	49.33	
Los Banos,	17.50	
Laguna		
San Roque,	118.00	
Laguna		
San Andres,	41.00	
Ouezon		
Magsaysay	73.63	
Occidental		
Mindoro		
San Jose	58.70	
Occidental		
Mindoro		
San Miguel	67.75	
Oriental Mindoro		
Tagkawayan	17.50	
Quezon		
Caluya, Antique	52.08	
Taal, Batangas	38.50	
San Antonio	109.50	
Labo, Camarines		
Norte		
San Pablo City	17.50	
Majayjay Laguna	85.50	
Dolores Quezon	41.00	

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

Table 16. Kruskal Wallis H-Test: Comparison on the Challenges Encountered on the Approved Application through e-
Governance Mechanism in Claiming Notice of Admission when Grouped According to Employment

Indicator	Employment	Mean	K-statistic	p-	Decision	Remarks
		Rank		value		
Challenges	None	53.08	39.435	0.584	S	Not
Encountered	Employed	67.00				Significant
	Government	58.69				
	Architect	80.08				
	Electrician	17.50				
	OFW	17.50				
	BFP	57.75				
	RHMPP	89.50				
	Guidance	73.50				
	Counselor					
	Undergraduate	64.00				
	Student	85.50				
	MGSCI	17.50				
	LGU Polilio	17.50				
	Unemployed	62.75				
	Civil Engineer	43.70				
	MSEUF	100.50				
	DepEd	53.50				
	Carlo Calma	104.00				
	Consultancy					
	Permanent	47.30				



AuthorityPRC 51.50 Public 50.50 Nurse 103.00 Service Engineer 114.00 Freelance 59.50 Private 71.14 Asya Design 100.50 PDRRMO 113.00 Clinic Aide 64.00 MPDC 41.00 Nursing Assistant 78.50 City Department 98.75 Health Office 111.50 Lserv Corp. 17.50 MHI Power Plant 59.50 LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. C Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00 Self-Employed 55.00	Philippine Coconut	78.50	
PRC 51.50 Public 50.50 Nurse 103.00 Service Engineer 114.00 Freelance 59.50 Private 71.14 Asya Design 100.50 PDRRMO 113.00 Clinic Aide 64.00 MPDC 41.00 Nursing Assistant 78.50 City Department 98.75 Health Office 111.50 Lserv Corp. 17.50 MHI Power Plant 59.50 LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. C Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00			
Nurse103.00Service Engineer114.00Freelance 59.50 Private 71.14 Asya Design100.50PDRRMO113.00Clinic Aide 64.00 MPDC 41.00 Nursing Assistant 78.50 City Department 98.75 Health Office 111.50 Lserv Corp. 17.50 MHI Power Plant 59.50 LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. C Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	•	51.50	
Service Engineer 114.00 Freelance 59.50 Private 71.14 Asya Design 100.50 PDRRMO 113.00 Clinic Aide 64.00 MPDC 41.00 Nursing Assistant 78.50 City Department 98.75 Health Office 111.50 Job Order 111.50 Lserv Corp. 17.50 MHI Power Plant 59.50 LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	Public	50.50	
Freelance 59.50 Private 71.14 Asya Design 100.50 PDRRMO 113.00 Clinic Aide 64.00 MPDC 41.00 Nursing Assistant 78.50 City Department 98.75 Health Office 71.14 Job Order 111.50 Lserv Corp. 17.50 MHI Power Plant 59.50 LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. 20.25 Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	Nurse	103.00	
Freelance 59.50 Private 71.14 Asya Design 100.50 PDRRMO 113.00 Clinic Aide 64.00 MPDC 41.00 Nursing Assistant 78.50 City Department 98.75 Health Office 71.14 Job Order 111.50 Lserv Corp. 17.50 MHI Power Plant 59.50 LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. 20.25 Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	Service Engineer	114.00	
Asya Design100.50PDRRMO113.00Clinic Aide64.00MPDC41.00Nursing Assistant78.50City Department98.75Health OfficeJob Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.Contractual47.17Private SectorS9.50CasualDPWH41.00		59.50	
PDRRMO113.00Clinic Aide64.00MPDC41.00Nursing Assistant78.50City Department98.75Health Office98.75Job Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.ContractualContractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Private	71.14	
Clinic Aide64.00MPDC41.00Nursing Assistant78.50City Department98.75Health Office98.75Job Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.ContractualContractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Asya Design	100.50	
MPDC41.00Nursing Assistant78.50City Department98.75Health Office98.75Job Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.ContractualContractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	PDRRMO	113.00	
Nursing Assistant78.50City Department98.75Health Office98.75Job Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Clinic Aide	64.00	
City Department98.75Health OfficeJob OrderJob Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	MPDC	41.00	
Health OfficeJob Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Nursing Assistant	78.50	
Job Order111.50Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	City Department	98.75	
Lserv Corp.17.50MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Health Office		
MHI Power Plant59.50LGU – Sariaya17.50Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Job Order	111.50	
LGU – Sariaya 17.50 Nursing Attendant 73.75 Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	Lserv Corp.	17.50	
Nursing Attendant73.75Sariaya Institute,29.25Inc.29.25Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	MHI Power Plant	59.50	
Sariaya Institute, 29.25 Inc. Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	LGU – Sariaya	17.50	
Inc. Contractual 47.17 Private Sector 59.50 Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	Nursing Attendant	73.75	
Contractual47.17Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Sariaya Institute,	29.25	
Private Sector59.50Casual115.00DPWH41.00	Inc.		
Casual 115.00 DPWH 41.00	Contractual	47.17	
DPWH 41.00	Private Sector	59.50	
	Casual	115.00	
Self-Employed 55.00	DPWH		

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

The p-value was 0.584 which is higher than the 0.05 level of significance. Thus, the null hypothesis failed to be rejected. There is no significant difference on the challenges encountered on the

approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to employment.

Test for Significant Relationship Between the Effects of the System Generated NOA of the Approved Application through e-Governance Mechanism and the Challenges Encountered Table 17. Spearman Rank: Significant Relationship Between the Effects of the System Generated NOA of the Approved

able 17. Spearman Rank: Significant Relationship Between the Effects of the System Generated NOA of the Approved
Application through e-Governance Mechanism and the Challenges Encountered

Challenges Encountered					
Indicators	Correlation	Interpretation	р-	Decision	Remarks
	Coefficient		value		
Information	-0.378	Moderate	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant
Clarity		Negative			
		Correlation			
System Security	-0.376	Moderate	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant
		Negative			
		Correlation			
System	-0.309	Moderate	<.000	Reject Ho	Significant
Stability		Negative			
-		Correlation			
Interactive	-0.335	Moderate	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant
Services		Negative		-	-
		Correlation			
"One-Stop"	-0.295	Moderate	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant
Services		Negative		-	-
		Correlation			



Offline Organization Service Quality	-0.366	Moderate Negative Correlation	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant
Perception Online Organization Service Quality	-0.338	Moderate Negative Correlation	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant
Perception Citizen's Satisfaction of e-Governance Services	-0.375	Moderate Negative Correlation	<.001	Reject Ho	Significant

Note: "If p value is less than or equal to the level of significance (0.05) reject Ho, otherwise failed to reject Ho."

Table 17 shows the Spearman Rank of the significant relationship between the effects of the system generated NOA of the approved application through e-Governance mechanism and the challenges encountered. On Information Clarity, the p-value was less than .001 which is lower than the 0.05 level of significance. There exists a significant relationship. And, in accordance with Citizen's Satisfaction, the p-value was less than .001 which is lower than the 0.05 level of significance. Thus, the null hypothesis was rejected.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion

Based on the data gathered, there exists a significant relationship between the approved application through e-Governance mechanism and the system generated Notice of Admission. There is no significant difference on the challenges encountered on the approved application through e-Governance mechanism in claiming Notice of Admission when grouped according to profile. There exists a significant relationship between the effects of the system generated NOA of the approved application through e-Governance mechanism and the challenges encountered.

Recommendation

The following were recommended to visualize and enhance the system designed in streamlining of e-services mechanism of the organizational process of the application for licensure examination and utilization of the system management designed for the fastest and accessible transactions for the end-user. Since the effects of the system generated NOA of the approved application through e-Governance mechanism were significantly related with the challenges encountered, it is preferably that e-Governance mechanism be enhanced to address the challenges encountered.

REFERENCES

- 1. BUCHANAN-CLARKE, S., & MASHINGAIDE, S. (2021). Rebuilding Constitutionalism and Rule of Law in Zimbabwe.
- 2. AL-MAHAMEED, ISOUD MOHAMMED (2012). The impact of perceived reliability of electronic business systems in user satisfaction: an empirical study in Jordan telecom companies.

Management science, 39 (2), pp.163-181.

- HEEKS, R. (2017). Foundations of ICT4D, Information and Communication Technology for Development (ICT4D), 37– 95, https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315652603-3
- Professional Regulation Commission Official website http://prc.gov.ph
- 5. Licensure Examination and Registration Information System (LERIS portal) http://online.prc.gov.ph/Home